

Attorney Docket No. 54964.8214.US04

**Remarks****I. Amendment****A. Priority**

The Office Action acknowledges that the instant application "filed January 3, 2001, is a Continuation of Application number 08/948,958, filed October 10, 1997, which claims benefit of 60/028,687, filed October 18, 1996" (P. 2). Applicants have amended the first paragraph of the specification in accordance with the Office Action's acknowledgement. Accordingly, Applicants have complied with the conditions for claiming the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. § 120.

**B. No New Matter**

The amendments are fully supported by disclosures from page 31, line 23 through page 34, line 19 in the specification. The new claims are also fully supported by disclosures from page 31, line 23 through page 34, line 19 and from page 38, line 21 through page 39, line 6 in the specification. Accordingly, the amendments and new claims do not constitute new matter.

**C. SEQ ID Numbers**

Amendments regarding sequence ID numbers have been made. Accordingly, amino acid and nucleotide sequences in the specification and claims are properly identified by sequence ID numbers.

Attorney Docket No. 54964.8214.US04

**II. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112**

Claims 3 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Claims 2-4, 45, 46, 48, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Applicants have deleted claims 2-4 and 45-49 and therefore made the rejections moot. Applicants respectfully request the rejections be withdrawn.

**III. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)**

Claims 1, 8, 9, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Applicants have cancelled claims 1, 8, 9, and 13 and thereby made the rejections moot. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the rejections be withdrawn.

**IV. Objections**

The Office Action objects to claims 5-7, 10-12 and 14-16 as being dependent upon rejected base claims. However, the Office Action concedes that claim 5-7, 10-12 and 14-16 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims. In light of the Office Action's concession and to obtain an early issuance of a patent, Applicants have rewritten claim 5 into an independent claim by incorporating all the limitations of claim 1 and/or limitations of claims 6 or 7. By the same token, claim 10 is rewritten into an independent claim incorporating all the limitations of claim 8 and 9, and/or limitations of claims 11 or 12. Furthermore, claim 14 is rewritten into an independent claim incorporating all the limitations of claim 13, and/or limitations of claims 15 or 16. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the objections be withdrawn.

Attorney Docket No. 54964.8214.US04

The previously reply filed on January 31, 2003 was made *bona fide*. Applicants believe that this correspondence would not unduly interfere with an Office action to be prepared in response to the 31 January 2003 reply. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this supplemental reply be entered.

Applicants believe that the present amendment places the application in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is, therefore, respectfully requested. If any additional issue needs to be addressed to expedite the prosecution of this application, please feel free to call the undersigned at (310) 788-3219.

Respectfully submitted,  
Perkins Coie LLP



James J. Zhu, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 52,396

Correspondence Address:



Perkins Coie LLP  
Patent - LA  
P.O. Box 1208  
Seattle, WA 98111-1208  
Phone: (310) 788-9900  
Fax: (310) 788-3399